

48810 Kato Road, Suite 100E & 200E

Fremont, CA 94538

Tel: +1 (800) 421-4149, Fax: +1 (510) 824-1490,

support@biogenex.com

# DATA SHEET eFISH 1p36/1q25 Dual Color Probe

Catalog No. FP044-10X -100µl-10 test FP044-20X- 200µl- 20 test

Doc No: 932-FP044 Rev: C Date of Release: 05-Aug-2020

Material Provided: One vial of eFISH probe in hybridization buffer (RTU).

## **Recommended detection system (Not supplied):**

Either of the following detection system is recommended depending on the automation/manual platform used:

eFISH Kit	Cat #	Description
eFISH Histo	DF-500-20XE	Automation
eFISH Cyto	DF-510-20XE	Automation

#### Intended Use:

The BioGenex eFISH 1p36/1q25Dual Color probe is currently available for Research use only. eFISH 1p36/1q25Dual Color probeis designed to be used for the detection of chromosome 1p36 and 1q25 specific sequences in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue or cells by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH).

BioGenex eFISH 1p36/1q25Dual Color probecomes in hybridization buffer. The probe contains orange-labeled polynucleotides (Orange: excitation at 547 nm and emission at 572 nm, similar to rhodamine), which target the chromosome 1p36 specific sequences, and greenlabeled polynucleotides (Green: excitation at 503 nm and emission at 528 nm, similar to FITC), which target chromosome 1q25 specificsequences.

## **Summary and Explanation**

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) is a robust technique of cytogenetic used for the detection of chromosomal aberrations, presence or absence of specific DNA sequence in native context. In this technique florescent probes bind to the target sequence of DNA in chromosome. High specificity and sensitivity coupled rapid and an accurate result has proven role of FISH in both research and diagnosis of solid tumor and hematological malignancies. As technique of cancer cytogenetics, FISH, can be used to identify genetic aberrations viz., deletions, amplification and translocation in tissue sections or within individual cells. FISH is also used for use in genetic counseling, medicine, and species identification. FISH can also be used to detect and localize specific RNA targets in cells, circulating tumor cells, and tissue samples <sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>.

In FISH procedure, fixed tissue sections are pretreated to expose target DNA or mRNA sequences. An appropriately labeled probe is hybridized to the exposed target DNA or mRNA sequences in the cells. Subsequent stringent washing steps remove any probe that is non-specifically bound to the tissue section. Subsequently slides are mounted using DAPI/antifade and can be visualized under fluorescence microscope using appropriate filter set.

## **Principles of the Procedure**

*In Situ* hybridization (ISH) allows the detection and localization of definitive nucleic acid sequences directly within a cell or tissue. High specificity is ensured through the action of annealing of fluorescence probe nucleic acid sequence to complementary target nucleic acid sequence. ISH techniques can be used to identify genetic aberrations like deletions, amplification, and translocationin tissue sections or within individual cells.

#### **Storage and Handling**

The BioGenex eFISH 1p36/1q25Dual Color probe must be stored at 2-8°C protected from light and is stable through the expiry date printed on the label.

# **Specimen Collection and Slide Preparation**

Tissues fixed in 10% (v/v) formalin are suitable for use prior to paraffin embedding and sectioning.

## **FISH Staining procedure**

- (a) The BioGenex eFISH probes are supplied in hybridization buffer and used without further dilution.
- (b) Protocol:

Please refer to the eFISH probe specific instruction/protocol for automated or semiautomated FISH processing platform (Xmatrx<sup>®</sup>-Infinity, Xmatrx<sup>®</sup>-Nano and Xmatrx<sup>®</sup>-mini.

Further processing, such as washing and counter-staining, can becompleted according to the user's needs. For a particularly user-friendlyperformance, we recommend the use of a BioGenexeFISH kit.

These systems were also used for the confirmation of appropriateness of the BioGenex eFISH 1p36/1q25Dual Color probe.

**Disclaimer**: The above information is provided for reference only. Each end-user is responsible for developing and validating optimal testing conditions for use with this product.

#### **Troubleshooting**

Contact BioGenex Technical Service Department at 1-800-421-4149 or your local



48810 Kato Road, Suite 100E & 200E

Fremont, CA 94538

Tel: +1 (800) 421-4149, Fax: +1 (510) 824-1490,

support@biogenex.com

distributor to report unusual staining.

# **Expected Results**

The BioGenex eFISH 1p36/1q25 Dual Color Probe is a mixture of an orange fluorochrome direct labeled 1p36 probe specific for thesmallest region of consistent deletion (SRD) of chromosome 1 defined in neuroblastoma at 1p36.31 and a green fluorochrome direct labeled 1q25 probe specific for 1q25.3.

Using the BioGenex eFISH 1p36/1q25 Dual Color Probe in a normal interphase nucleus, two orange and two green signals are expected. In a cell with deletions affecting the 1p36 locus, one or no copy of the orange signal will be observed.

However, we recommend the use of a control sample in which the 1p36 or 1q25status is known to judge the specificity of the signals with each hybridization reaction

Care should be taken not to evaluate overlapping cells, in order to avoidfalse results, e.g. an amplification of genes. Due to decondensedchromatin, single FISH signals can appear as small signal clusters. Thus, two or three signals of the same size, separated by a distance equal to orless than the diameter of one signal, should be counted as one signal.

## **Limitations of the Procedure**

Correct treatment of tissues prior to and during fixation, embedding, and sectioning is important for obtaining optimal results. Inconsistent results may be due to variations in tissue processing, as well as inherent variations in tissue. The results from *in situ* hybridization must be correlated with other laboratory findings.

# **Bibliography**

- 1. Gall, J. G. and Pardue, M. L. (1969). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA63, 378-383.
- 2. Rudkin, G. T. and Stollar, B. D. (1977). *Nature* 265,472-473.
- **3.** Hougaard, D. M., Hansen, H. and Larsson, L. I. (1997). *Histochem. Cell Biol.* 108.335 -344.
- **4.** Bauman, J. G., Wiegant, J., Borst, P. and van Duijn, P. (1980). *Cell Res.* 128,485 -490.
- **5.** O'Connor, C. (2008). *Nature Education* 1(1):171.
- **6.** Toru Nagasaka, MasaharuGunji. (2007).Brain Tumor Pathology, Volume 24, Issue 1, pp 1-5.
- MacouraGadji et al. (2009).Cancer Genetics and CytogeneticsVolume 194, Issue 1, Pages 12–22

**8.** Wiens, Andrea L. DO et al. (2012). Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology: Volume 71 - Issue 7 - p 618–624