

Tel: +1 (800) 421-4149, Fax: +1 (510) 824-1490,

support@biogenex.com

# DATA SHEET eFISHETV6/RUNX1dual color dual fusion probe

Catalog No.

FP076-10X- 100µl-10 test FP076-20X- 200µl-20 test

Doc No: 932-FP076 Rev: C Date of Release: 10-Aug-2020

Material Provided: One vial of eFISH probe in hybridization buffer (RTU).

**Recommended detection system (Not supplied):** 

Either of the following detection system is recommended depending on the automation/manual platform used:

eFISH Kit	Cat #	Description
eFISH Histo	DF-500-20XE	Automation
eFISH Cyto	DF-510-20XE	Automation

## **Intended Use:**

The BioGenex eFISH ETV6/RUNX1 Dual Color Dual Fusion Probe is currently available for Research use only.eFISH ETV6/RUNX1 Dual Color Dual Fusion Probe is designed to be used for the detection of the translocation t(12; 21)(p13; q22) in formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded tissue or cells by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH).

BioGenex eFISH ETV6/RUNX1 Dual Color Dual Fusion Probe comes in hybridization buffer. The probe contains orange-labeled polynucleotides(Orange: excitation at 547 nm and emission at 572 nm, similar to rhodamine), which target the ETV6 breakpoint region in 12p13.2, and greenlabeled polynucleotides (Green: excitation at 503 nm and emission at 528 nm, similar to FITC), which target the RUNX1 breakpoint region in 21q22.12.

# **Summary and Explanation**

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) is a robust technique of cytogenetic used for the detection of chromosomal aberrations, presence or absence of specific DNA sequence in native context. In this technique florescent probes bind to the target sequence of DNA in chromosome. High specificity and sensitivity coupled rapid and an accurate result has proven role of FISH in both research and diagnosis of solid tumor and hematological malignancies. As technique of cancer cytogenetics, FISH, can be used to identify genetic aberrations viz., deletions, amplification and translocation in tissue sections or within individual cells. FISH is also used for use in genetic counseling, medicine, and species

identification. FISH can also be used to detect and localize specific RNA targets in cells, circulating tumor cells, and tissue samples 1,2,3,4,5.

In FISH procedure, fixed tissue sections are pretreated to expose target DNA or mRNA sequences. An appropriately labeled probe is hybridized to the exposed target DNA or mRNA sequences in the cells. Subsequent stringent washing steps remove any probe that is non-specifically bound to the tissue section. Subsequently slides are mounted using DAPI/antifade and can be visualized under fluorescence microscope using appropriate filter

## **Principles of the Procedure**

In Situ hybridization (ISH) allows the detection and localization of definitive nucleic acid sequences directly within a cell or tissue. High specificity is ensured through the action of annealing of fluorescence probe nucleic acid sequence to complementary target nucleic acid sequence. ISH techniques can be used to identify genetic aberrations like deletions, amplification, and translocationin tissue sections or within individual cells.

# **Storage and Handling**

The BioGenex eFISH ETV6/RUNX1 Dual Color Dual Fusion Probe must be stored at 2-8°C protected from light and is stable through the expiry date printed on the label.

# **Specimen Collection and Slide Preparation**

Tissues fixed in 10% (v/v) formalin are suitable for use prior to paraffin embedding and sectioning.

#### **FISH Staining procedure**

- (a) The BioGenex eFISH probes are supplied in hybridization buffer and used without further dilution.
- (b) Protocol:

Please refer to the eFISH probe specific instruction/protocol for automated or semiautomated FISH processing platform (Xmatrx<sup>®</sup>-Infinity, Xmatrx<sup>®</sup>-Nano and Xmatrx<sup>®</sup>mini.

Further processing, such as washing and counter-staining, can becompleted according to the user's needs. For a particularly user-friendly performance, we recommend the use of a BioGenexeFISH kit.

These systems were also used for the confirmation of appropriateness of the BioGenex eFISH ETV6/RUNX1 Dual Color Dual Fusion Probe.

**Disclaimer**: The above information is provided for reference only. Each end-user is responsible for developingand validating optimal testing conditions for use with this product.



Fremont, CA 94538

Tel: +1 (800) 421-4149, Fax: +1 (510) 824-1490,

support@biogenex.com

### **Troubleshooting**

Contact BioGenex Technical Service Department at **1-800-421-4149 or your local distributor** to report unusual staining.

## **Expected Results**

The BioGenex eFISH ETV6/RUNX1 Dual Color Dual Fusion Probe is a mixture of an orange fluorochrome direct labeled ETV6 probespanning the known breakpoint region of the ETV6 gene and a green fluorochrome direct labeled RUNX1 probe covering the known breakpoint region of the RUNX1 gene.

In a normal interphase nucleus, two orange and two green signals are expected. A reciprocal translocation involving two breakpoints splits the two signals and generates a fusion signal on each of the chromosomes involved. The chromosomal regions which are not translocated are indicated by the single orange and green signal, respectively.

However, we recommend the use of a control sample in which the 12p13 or 21q22 status is known to judge the specificity of the signals with each hybridization reaction.

Care should be taken not to evaluate overlapping cells, in order to avoidfalse results, e.g. an amplification of genes. Due to decondensedchromatin, single FISH signals can appear as small signal clusters. Thus, two or three signals of the same size, separated by a distance equal to orless than the diameter of one signal, should be counted as one signal.

#### **Limitations of the Procedure**

Correct treatment of tissues prior to and during fixation, embedding, and sectioning is important for obtaining optimal results. Inconsistent results may be due to variations in tissue processing, as well as inherent variations in tissue. The results from *in situ* hybridization must be correlated with other laboratory findings.

## **Bibliography**

- 1. Gall, J. G. and Pardue, M. L. (1969). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA63,378 -383.
- **2.** Rudkin, G. T. and Stollar, B. D. (1977). *Nature* 265,472-473.
- **3.** Hougaard, D. M., Hansen, H. and Larsson, L. I. (1997). *Histochem. Cell Biol.* 108,335 -344.
- **4.** Bauman, J. G., Wiegant, J., Borst, P. and van Duijn, P. (1980). *Cell Res.* 128,485 -490.
- **5.** O'Connor, C. (2008) . *Nature Education* 1(1):171.

- **6.** Hong Chang , Dan Li , Lihua Zhuang , Eileen Nie , Derek Bouman , A Keith Stewart and Kathy Chun(2004). Vol. 45, No. 5, Pages 965-969.
- 7. IwonaWlodarskaJournal of Molecular Diagnostics, Vol. 9, No. 1
- Beverly P. Nelson, MD, Rohit Gupta, MD, Gordon W. Dewald, PhD, Sarah F. Paternoster, Steven T. Rosen, MD, and LoAnn C. Peterson, MD (2007). Am J ClinPathol; 128:323-332.